

Bryozoans from the Jurginskaya Formation (Famennian, Upper Devonian) of the Tom-Kolyvansk area (Western Siberia, Russia)

Zoya Tolokonnikova

Kuzbass State Pedagogical Academy, Kuznetsov Str. 6, 654041 Novokuznetsk, Russia; e-mail: zalatoi@yandex.ru

Abstract

Nine bryozoan species are described from the Jurginskaya Formation (Famennian, Late Devonian) from Western Siberia, Russia, namely: *Leptotrypella pojarkovi* Orlovski, 1961, *Rhombopora subtilis* Nekhoroshev, 1977, *Klaucena lalolamina* Yang, Hu, Xia, 1988, *Eofistulotrypa famennensis* sp. n., *Atactotoechus cellatus* sp. n., *Nikiforopora jurgensis* sp. n., *Eridotrypella tyzhnovi* sp. n., *Mediaporea elegans* sp. n., and *Klaucena gracilis* sp. n. The studied assemblage shows palaeogeographical affinity with Kazakhstan, Kirgizia, Transcaucasia, China, and the United States of America.

Keywords: Bryozoa, Late Devonian, Western Siberia, Russia

Introduction

The sedimentary Jurginskaya Formation spreads on the eastern periphery of the Tom-Kolyvansk area (south of Western Siberia, Russia). The age of the formation is Middle-Late Famennian, based on stratigraphy, lithology and fossils (Kraevskaya, 1960; Babin et al., 1999; Gutak & Tolokonnikova, 2010). The first bryozoan fauna was discovered in the 1940s, but without a detailed description (Kraevskaya, 1960). Bryozoans outnumber the other fossils (brachiopods, crinoids, cephalopods, bivalves). The bryozoan assemblage consists of cystoporates (*Eofistulotrypa famennensis* sp. n.), trepostomes (the already known species *Leptotrypella pojarkovi* Orlovski, 1961, and three new species: *Atactotoechus cellatus* sp. n., *Nikiforopora jurgensis* sp. n., *Eridotrypella tyzhnovi* sp. n.), as well as rhabdomesines (two already known species *Rhombopora subtilis* Nekhoroshev, 1977, and

Klaucena lalolamina Yang, Hu, Xia, 1988; and two new species: *Mediaporea elegans* sp. n., and *Klaucena gracilis* sp. n.).

Methods and material

The material for the present study was collected during the summer of 2008 in the type section of the Jurginskaya Formation on the right bank of the Tom River near the town of Jurga in the Kemerovo region (Fig. 1). The bryozoans were found at three localities, mainly in shales, rarely in calcareous sandstones and siliceous limestones. They were investigated in thin section using a binocular microscope. A total of 103 thin sections were studied.

The morphological terminology is adopted from Astrova (1978) and Boardman et al. (1983). The taxonomic descriptions of the bryozoan fauna are based on measurements of the




Fig. 1. Scheme of spreading of deposits of the Jurginskaya Formation (compiled on unpublished data of Tyzhnov, 1943).

morphological characteristics. The inner diameter was measured for hollow characteristics such as apertures and autozooeal chambers. The spacing of the morphological characteristics at the colony surface was measured from the centre to the next centre of the apertures. Additional quantitative characteristics studied are the number of exilazooecia and the acanthostyles surrounding each autozooeal aperture. The spacing of the horizontal structures such as diaphragms was quantified by counting their number in 1 mm of the length of the host autozooeum. Numerical statistical values (mean, standard deviation, variation coefficient, and minimum/maximum values) were calculated following Köhler et al. (1996).

The described material is stored at the Kuzbass State Pedagogical Academy (Novokuznetsk, Russia; collection number 4).

Systematic palaeontology

Phylum Bryozoa Ehrenberg, 1831
 Class Stenolaemata Borg, 1926
 Order Cystoporata Astrova, 1964
 Suborder Fistuliporina Astrova, 1964
 Family Fistuliporidae Ulrich, 1882

Genus *Eofistulotrypa* Morozova, 1959

Type species: *Eofistulotrypa manifesta* Morozova, 1959, Frasnian (Late Devonian), Kuzbass (Russia).

Diagnosis: colonies ramose. Autozooea with diaphragms. Apertures circular-oval, possessing well-developed lunaria. Vesicles in exozone only.

Occurrence: China, Russia, Mongolia; Middle-Late Devonian.

Discussion: *Eofistulotrypa* Morozova, 1959 differs from *Fistulotrypa* Bassler, 1929 in the absence of vesicular tissue in the endozone.

Eofistulotrypa famennensis sp. nov. (Figs. 2 A–C; Table 1)

Etymology: referring to the Famennian in which this species was found.

Holotype: 4/18.1; paratype: 4/18.2.

Type locality: Tom River, Kemerovo region, Russia.

Type horizon: Jurginskaya Formation, Podonino horizon, Middle-Late Famennian (Late Devonian).

Diagnosis: colonies ramose with self-incrustation. Apertures circular, large; lunaria weakly developed. Vesicles 2–3 rows, developed in exozones only.

Description: colonies are ramose with secondary overgrowth. Branches 10–18 mm in diameter, secondary overgrowths 5–7 mm thick. Endozones 4–5 mm wide, exozones 3–6 mm wide. Autozooeal walls 0.012 mm thick in endozones, 0.025 mm thick in exozones. Autozooeal diaphragms straight or inclined, numbering 1–2 on 1 mm of autozooeal length in endozones and 3–5 on 1 mm in exozones. Au-

Table 1. Descriptive statistics for *Eofistulotrypa famennensis* sp. nov.

<i>Eofistulotrypa famennensis</i> sp. nov.	N	X	SD	CV	MIN	MAX
aperture width [mm]	20	0.30	0.029	9.70	0.270	0.37
aperture spacing from centre to centre [mm]	15	0.45	0.065	14.47	0.350	0.57
lunaria length [mm]	10	0.03	0.012	37.10	0.025	0.05
lunaria width [mm]	10	0.09	0.018	20.60	0.075	0.12
vesicles per 1 mm]	10	2.35	0.337	14.36	2.000	3.00
diameter of vesicles [mm]	10	0.17	0.036	20.30	0.120	0.25

Abbreviations: N = number of measurements, X = mean, SD = standard deviation, CV = coefficient of variation, MIN = minimal value, MAX = maximal value.

to zooecial apertures circular. Lunaria weakly developed, 0.025 mm thick in the middle part. Vesicles large, abundant, arranged in 2–3 rows, rarely in 5 rows between autozooecia, polygonal in tangential section.

Discussion: *Eofistulotrypa famennensis* sp. nov. is similar to *Eofistulipora primacylindilla* Xia, 1997 from the Famennian of Xinjiang, China (*crepida* Conodont Zone), but differs in colony form (ramose with secondary overgrowth versus ramose with central zooecia in *Eofistulipora primacylindilla*). The apertures are circular and the width of 0.27–0.37 mm in *Eofistulotrypa famennensis* is different in *Eofistulipora primacylindilla*, which shows an oval shape of 0.14–0.2 mm in width and 0.23–0.28 mm in length.

Order Trepostomata Ulrich, 1882
Suborder Amplexoporina Astrova, 1965
Family Atactotoechidae Duncan, 1939

Genus: *Atactotoechus* Duncan, 1939

Type species: *Atactotoechus typicus* Duncan, 1939, Traverse Group (Middle Devonian), United States of America.

Diagnosis: colonies encrusting, massive or branched. Autozooecia with polygonal to cir-

cular-polygonal apertures. Diaphragms abundant, straight or inclined. Cystiphragms single or several in cluster. Exilazooecia rare. Acanthostyles absent or present in small numbers in maculae. Autozooecial walls thin in the endozone; irregularly thickened, finely laminated in the exozone (modified after Astrova, 1978).

Occurrence: worldwide; Early Silurian to Late Devonian.

Discussion: *Atactotoechus* Duncan, 1939 differs from *Orbignyella* Ulrich & Bassler, 1904 in having thickened autozooecial walls and absence of acanthostyles.

Atactotoechus cellatus sp. nov. (Figs. 2 D–F; Table 2)

Etymology: the specific name '*cellatus*' refers to the view of surface colony in tangential section (derived from Latin '*cella*' = cell).

Holotype: 4/19.1; paratypes: 4/19.2–4/19.5.


Type locality: Tom River, Kemerovo region, Russia.

Type horizon: Jurginskaya Formation, Podonino horizon, Middle-Late Famennian (Late Devonian).

Diagnosis: colonies branched and encrusting. Apertures circular-polygonal; exilazooecia

Table 2. Descriptive statistics for *Atactotoechus cellatus* sp. nov. (for abbreviations, see Table 1).

<i>Atactotoechus cellatus</i> sp. nov.	N	X	SD	CV	MIN	MAX
branch width [mm]	6	10.33	0.605	5.85	9.500	11.00
endozone width [mm]	10	3.75	0.205	5.45	3.500	4.00
exozone width [mm]	10	3.25	0.227	6.99	3.000	3.50
aperture width [mm]	20	0.19	0.042	21.55	0.120	0.27
exilazooecia width [mm]	10	0.07	0.018	25.44	0.050	0.10
acanthostyle diameter [mm]	10	0.04	0.013	35.13	0.025	0.05



rare and short or 4–5 in cluster around each aperture; acanthostyles absent or 3–5 around aperture.

Description: colonies branched and encrusting. Encrusting colonies 4–6 mm thick (usually it has two layers of incrustation: first 1–2 mm thick and second 3–4 mm thick). Autozooeal walls twisted, 0.075 mm thick in exozones. Autozooeal diaphragms rare in endozones, abundant in exozones, straight or inclined. Autozooeal diaphragms 4–5 per 1 mm. Cystiphragms rare. Autozooeal apertures circular-polygonal. In any direction 7–8 apertures in 2 mm. Exilazooecia rare, short, polygonal in cross-section. Sometimes 4–5 exilazooecia surrounding autozooeal aperture. Acanthostyles short, locally abundant, 3–5 surrounding each aperture, in some place absent.

Discussion: *Atactotoechus cellatus* sp. nov. is similar to *Atactotoechus solus* Troitzkaya, 1968 from the Famennian of central Kazakhstan. The new species differs in colony form, which is massive in *A. solus*, the wall thickness in exozone of *A. cellatus* has 0.075 mm vs. 0.02 mm in *A. solus*, size and number of acanthostyles (3–5 acanthostyles of 0.025–0.05 mm in diameter vs. 1–2 acanthostyles of 0.02 mm in diameter in *A. solus*).

Genus *Leptotrypella* Vinassa de Regny, 1921

Type species: *Chaetetes barrandei* Nicholson, 1874, Middle Devonian, Canada.

Diagnosis: colonies branched. Autozooeal walls with polygonal to circular-polygonal apertures. Autozooeal diaphragms lacking in endozones; rare to common in exozones. Exilazooecia rare. Acanthostyles long, common to abundant. Autozooeal walls granular, thin in the endozones; laminated, irregularly thickened in exozones (modified after Astrova, 1978).

Occurrence: worldwide; Middle Silurian to Early Carboniferous.

Discussion: *Leptotrypella* Vinassa de Regny, 1921 differs from *Leptotrypa* Ulrich, 1883 in having a branched colony, and from *Anomalot-oechus* Duncan, 1939 in having a branched colony and absence of diaphragms in endozones.

Leptotrypella pojarkovi Orlovski, 1961 (Figs. 2 G–H; Table 3)

1961 *Leptotrypella pojarkovi* sp. nov., Orlovski, pp. 67–68, pl. 8, fig. 5.

Material: 4/20a, 4/20b.

Description: colonies branched. Exozones 0.87–1 mm wide. Autozooeal walls of 0.025 mm thick in endozones. Autozooeal diaphragms straight or inclined, 1–2 in number. Autozooeal apertures circular-polygonal, sometimes oval. Exilazooecia polygonal, arranged single or in groups of 3–4. Acanthostyles located at junctions of zooecial apertures.

Occurrence: Kirgizia, Republic of Nakhichevan (Azerbaijan): the Early-Middle Famennian (Late Devonian); Kemerovo region, Russia:

Table 3. Descriptive statistics for *Leptotrypella pojarkovi* Orlovski, 1961. (for abbreviations, see Table 1).

<i>Leptotrypella pojarkovi</i> Orlovski, 1961	N	X	SD	CV	MIN	MAX
branch width [mm]	5	2.55	0.180	7.40	2.370	2.870
endozone width [mm]	5	0.87	0.078	8.93	0.800	1.000
aperture width [mm]	15	0.19	0.036	18.91	0.150	0.270
aperture spacing [mm]	10	0.05	0.018	35.10	0.025	0.075
exilazooecia width [mm]	15	0.08	0.023	27.88	0.050	0.012
number of exilazooecia between apertures	10	2.00	0.940	47.10	1.000	4.000
exozonal wall thickness [mm]	10	0.06	0.020	30.49	0.050	0.010

Fig. 2. Bryozoa from the families Fistuliporidae, Atactotoechidae and Stenoporidae.

A–C – *Eofistulotrypa famennensis* sp. nov. **A:** 4/18.2, longitudinal section, scale bar = 2 mm; **B:** 4/18.2, longitudinal section, scale bar = 1 mm; **C:** 4/18.1, tangential section, scale bar = 0.5 mm; **D–F** – *Atactotoechus cellatus* sp. nov. **D:** 4/19.1, longitudinal section, showing wall structure, scale bar = 1 mm; **E:** 4/19.1, longitudinal section, scale bar = 2 mm; **F:** 4/19.1, tangential section, scale bar = 1 mm; **G–H** – *Leptotrypella pojarkovi* Orlovski, 1961. **G:** 4/20a, longitudinal section, scale bar = 1 mm; **H:** 4/20a, tangential section, scale bar = 0.5 mm; **I** – *Nikiforopora jurgensis* sp. nov.: 4/21.1, transverse section, scale bar = 0.5 mm.

Podonino horizon, the Middle-Late Famennian (Late Devonian).

Discussion: *Leptotrypella pojarkovi* Orlovski, 1961 is similar to *Leptotrypella parva* Duncan, 1939 from the Traverse Group of North America, but differs from it in a lower number of diaphragms (1–2 vs. 4–6 in *L. parva*).

Family Stenoporidae Waagen & Wentzel, 1886

Genus *Nikiforopora* Dunaeva, 1964

Type species: *Batostomella concentrica* Nikiforova, 1927, Early Carboniferous, Ukraine.

Diagnosis: branched colonies with narrow exozone. Autozooecia with oval apertures. Hemiphragms rare; restricted to exozones. Exilazooecia rare. Acanthostyles large, rare. Tubules abundant in different parts of the skeleton. Autozooecial walls thin in endozones; strongly and regularly thickened in exozones.

Occurrence: Eurasia; Late Devonian to Middle Carboniferous.

Discussion: *Nikiforopora* Dunaeva, 1964 differs from *Tabulipora* Young, 1883 in having rare diaphragms and regularly thickened walls, from *Rhombotrypella* Nikiforova, 1933 in having an irregular polygonal section of autozooecia in endozones.

Nikiforopora jurgensis sp. nov. (Figs. 2 I, 3 A–C; Table 4)

Etymology: the specific name refers to the Jurginskaya Formation in which the material was found.

Holotype: 4/21.1; paratypes: 4/21.2–4/21.6.

Type locality: Tom River, Kemerovo region, Russia.

Type horizon: Jurginskaya Formation, Podonino horizon, Middle-Late Famennian (Late Devonian).

Diagnosis: colonies branched. Autozooecia with oval apertures. Exilazooecia short and rare. Acanthostyles large, 2–3 surrounding each aperture. Autozooecial diaphragms in the exozone located close to the colony surface.

Description: colonies branched. Exozones 0.65 mm wide. Autozooecial diaphragms complete, rare in endozones. Autozooecial hemiphragms occur in exozones, 1–2 in each autozooecium, located close to the colony surface. Autozooecial walls of 0.012 mm thick in endozones, 0.075–0.1 mm thick in exozones. Autozooecia with oval apertures. Exilazooecia short, circular in cross-section, 1–3 surrounding each aperture. Acanthostyles of 0.025–0.05 mm in diameter, 2–3 surrounding each autozooecial aperture. Tubules abundant, 0.014 mm in diameter.

Discussion: *Nikiforopora jurgensis* sp. nov. differs from *Nikiforopora rotaji* (Trizna, 1958) from the Visean of the Kuzbass in its smaller number of hemiphragms in autozooecia (2–4 vs. 6 in *N. rotaji*), size of acanthostyles (0.025–0.05 mm vs. 0.1–0.15 mm in *N. rotaji*).

Family Eridotrypellidae Morozova, 1960

Genus *Eridotrypella* Duncan, 1939

Type species: *Batostomella obliqua* Ulrich, 1890, Hamilton Group (Middle Devonian), United States of America.

Diagnosis: colonies branched. Autozooecia with irregular-circular apertures. Autozooecial diaphragms in endozones lacking or very rare; variable in number in exozones. Exilazooec-

Table 4. Descriptive statistics for *Nikiforopora jurgensis* sp. nov. (for abbreviations, see Table 1).

<i>Nikiforopora jurgensis</i> sp. nov.	N	X	SD	CV	MIN	MAX
branch width [mm]	5	2.62	0.151	5.76	2.370	2.750
endozone width [mm]	10	1.16	0.131	11.35	1.000	1.370
aperture width [mm]	15	0.14	0.017	12.56	0.120	0.170
aperture spacing [mm]	10	0.07	0.020	26.89	0.050	0.120
exilazooecia width [mm]	10	0.07	0.010	15.05	0.050	0.075
number of exilazooecia between apertures	10	1.50	0.700	47.10	1.000	3.000
acanthostyle diameter [mm]	10	0.04	0.010	28.40	0.025	0.050

cia very rare. Acanthostyles small. Tubules in autozooecial walls common, sometimes completely surrounding acanthostyles. Autozooecial walls thin in endozones, irregularly thickened in exozones.

Occurrence: Eurasia, North America; Late Silurian to Early Carboniferous.

Discussion: *Eridotrypella* Duncan, 1939 differs from *Eostenopora* Duncan, 1939 in having only a branched colony, well defined exozones and small acanthostyles.

Eridotrypella tyzhnovi sp. nov. (Figs. 3 D–F; Table 5)

Etymology: the species was named in honour of A.V. Tyzhnov, who studied the Jurga section in detail.

Holotype: 4/22.1; paratype: 4/22.2.

Type locality: Tom River, Kemerovo region, Russia.

Type horizon: Jurginskaya Formation, Podonino horizon, Middle-Late Famennian (Late Devonian).

Diagnosis: colonies branched with narrow exozone. Autozooecia with circular apertures. Exilazooecia rare. Acanthostyles rare and large. Autozooecial walls containing abundant tubules in medium part, thick in exozone.

Description: colonies branched. Branches of 2.37–2.9 mm in diameter. Endozones 1.37 mm wide, exozones 0.5–0.75 mm wide. Autozooecial walls of 0.012 mm thick in endozones, 0.12–0.15 mm thick in exozones. Abundant tubules developed in the middle part of autozooecial walls. Autozooecial diaphragms complete and straight, 1–2 in exozonal parts of autozooecia. Autozooecial apertures circular or oval, measuring 0.1–0.2×0.2–0.25 mm, arranged in step-down places. Exilazooecia rare and short, having a circular form in transversal section, 0.075–0.1 mm in diameter. Acanthostyles locally 1–2 in number surrounding autozooecial apertures, in some place absent.

Discussion: *Eridotrypella tyzhnovi* sp. nov. differs from *Eridotrypella stellata* Tolokonnikova, 2007 from the Upper Famennian of the Kuzbass in smaller number of diaphragms (1–2 vs. 4 in *E. stellata*), thickness of the autozooecial walls in exozone (0.12–0.15 mm vs. 0.028–0.042 mm in *E. stellata*), larger and more rare acanthostyles (1–2 vs. 5–6 acanthostyles per aperture in *E. stellata*; acanthostyles diameter 0.025–0.050 mm vs. 0.014–0.028 mm in *E. stellata*).

Order Cryptostomata Vine, 1884

Suborder Rhabdomesina Astrova

& Morozova, 1956

Family Rhomboporidae Simpson, 1895

Genus *Rhombopora* Meek, 1872

Type species: *Rhombopora lepidodendroides* Meek, 1872, Late Carboniferous, United States of America.

Diagnosis: colonies ramose. Tube-like autozooecia meet the colony surface at low angles. Diaphragms can occur. Hemisepta absent. Autozooecial apertures oval. Metazooecia rare to absent. One or two acanthostyles at the distal end of each aperture. Exozonal walls with abundant paurostyles arranged in a regular pattern around the apertures.

Occurrence: Eurasia, North America, Australia; Devonian-Permian.

Discussion: The genus *Rhombopora* Meek, 1872 differs from the genus *Klaucena* Trizna, 1958 in the shape of the autozooecia and in the absence of large acanthostyles, as well as in having rare metazooecia.

Rhombopora subtilis Nekhoroshev, 1977 (Figs. 3 G–H; Table 6)

1977 *Rhombopora subtilis* sp. nov., Nekhoroshev, pp. 139–140, pl. 32, figs. 4–7.

Material: single specimen 4/23.

Description: colony thin ramose, 0.8 mm in diameter. Endozones of 0.2 mm wide, exozones

Table 5. Descriptive statistics for *Eridotrypella tyzhnovi* sp. nov. (for abbreviations, see Table 1).

<i>Eridotrypella tyzhnovi</i> sp. nov.	N	X	SD	CV	MIN	MAX
aperture width [mm]	15	0.15	0.034	23.28	0.100	0.20
aperture spacing [mm]	10	0.09	0.015	15.20	0.075	0.12
acanthostyle diameter [mm]	10	0.04	0.012	32.27	0.025	0.05




Table 6. Descriptive statistics for *Rhombopora subtilis* Nekhoroshev, 1977 (for abbreviations, see Table 1).

<i>Rhombopora subtilis</i> Nekhoroshev, 1977	N	X	SD	CV	MIN	MAX
aperture width [mm]	7	0.06	0.013	22.00	0.050	0.075
aperture spacing along branch [mm]	5	0.17	0.020	12.19	0.150	0.200
acanthostyle diameter [mm]	5	0.04	0.013	34.23	0.025	0.050
aperture spacing across branch [mm]	5	0.13	0.013	10.01	0.120	0.150

of 0.3 mm wide. Autozooecial walls of 0.025 mm in the thickness the endozones, 0.075–0.1 mm thickness in exozones. Hemisepta absent. Autozooecial apertures elongate-oval, measuring on average 0.06×0.12 mm. One acanthostyle on the distal end of aperture, 0.025–0.05 mm in diameter. Paurostyles 0.010 mm in diameter arranged around each aperture.

Occurrence: Kazakhstan: *Sulcifer* horizon, Middle Famennian (Late Devonian); Transcaucasia: Early Famennian; Kemerovo region, Russia: Podonino horizon, Middle-Late Famennian (Late Devonian).

Discussion: *Rhombopora subtilis* Nekhoroshev, 1977 from the Middle Famennian of Kazakhstan is similar to *Rhombopora lepidodendroides* Meek, 1872 from the Late Carboniferous, United States of America, but differs in having smaller colonies (0.8 mm vs. 1.2–1.5 mm in diameter in *R. lepidodendroides*).

Genus *Klaucena* Trizna, 1958

Type species: *Klaucena immortalis* Trizna, 1958, Early Carboniferous, Kuzbass, Russia.

Diagnosis: colonies branched with incomplete mesotheca. Few diaphragms and hemisepta. Autozooecial apertures irregular-oval or oval-rhombic. Abundant macroacanthostyles and paurostyles. Autozooecial walls thin in endozone; regularly thickened in exozone.

Occurrence: Russia, China; Early Carboniferous and Early Permian.

Discussion: the genus *Klaucena* Trizna, 1958 differs from the genus *Rhombopora* Meek, 1872 in the shape of the autozooecia and in the presence of macroacanthostyles and hemisepta.

Klaucena gracilis sp. nov. (Figs. 4 A–C; Table 7)

Etymology: The specific name '*gracilis*' refers to the habit of the colony (derived from Latin '*gracilis*' = slender).

Holotype: 4/24.1; paratypes: 4/24.2–4/24.4.

Type locality: Tom River, Kemerovo region, Russia.


Type horizon: Jurginskaya Formation, Podonino horizon, Middle-Late Famennian (Late Devonian).

Table 7. Descriptive statistics for *Klaucena gracilis* sp. nov. (for abbreviations, see Table 1).

<i>Klaucena gracilis</i> sp. nov.	N	X	SD	CV	MIN	MAX
branch width [mm]	5	0.80	0.075	9.39	0.720	0.900
endozone width [mm]	5	0.21	0.029	14.18	0.170	0.250
aperture width [mm]	10	0.06	0.012	21.00	0.050	0.075
aperture length [mm]	10	0.16	0.008	5.10	0.150	0.170
aperture spacing across branch [mm]	10	0.14	0.021	15.14	0.120	0.170
aperture spacing along branch [mm]	10	0.07	0.022	32.80	0.050	0.100
macroacanthostyle diameter [mm]	10	0.01	0.004	28.06	0.012	0.025
number of macroacanthostyle between apertures	10	4.30	0.674	15.69	4.000	6.000

Fig. 3. Bryozoa from the families Stenoporidae, Eridotrypidae and Rhomboporidae.

A–C – *Nikiforopora jurgensis* sp. nov. **A:** 4/21.1, longitudinal section, scale bar = 1 mm; **B:** 4/21.2, tangential section, scale bar = 0.5 mm; **C:** 4/21.3, longitudinal section, showing exilazooecia, scale bar = 0.2 mm; **D–F** – *Eridotrypella tyzhnovi* sp. nov. **D:** 4/22.1, longitudinal section, scale bar = 0.5 mm; **E:** 4/22.1, tangential section, scale bar = 0.5 mm; **F:** 4/22.1, transverse section, scale bar = 1 mm; **G–H** – *Rhombopora subtilis* Nekhoroshev, 1977. **G:** 4/23, tangential section, scale bar = 0.2 mm; **H:** 4/23, longitudinal section, scale bar = 0.5 mm.



Diagnosis: colonies branched. Diaphragms and hemisepta not observed. Autozooecia with oval-rhombic apertures. Macroacanthostyles and paurostyles arranged around each autozooecial aperture in various numbers.

Description: colonies branched dichotomous. Mesotheca incomplete, slightly wavy, 0.025 mm in thickness. Exozones 0.25–0.3 mm wide. Autozooecial walls of 0.012 mm thick in endozones, and 0.025–0.05 mm thick in exozones. Autozooecial apertures oval-rhombic, arranged in regular diagonal rows. Macroacanthostyles surrounding each autozooecial aperture. Paurostyles rare, 0.01 mm in diameter, arranged in groups.

Discussion: *Klaucena gracilis* sp. nov. differs from *Klaucena aculeus* Trizna, 1958 from the Tournaisian (Early Carboniferous) of the Kuzbass in the size of the autozooecial apertures (0.05–0.075×0.15–0.17 mm vs. 0.20×0.17 mm in *Klaucena aculeus*), and in smaller macroacanthostyles (0.012–0.025 mm vs. 0.04–0.08 mm in *Klaucena aculeus*).

Klaucena lalolamina Yang, Hu, Xia, 1988 (Figs. 4 D–F; Table 8)

1988 *Klaucena lalolamina* sp. n., Yang, Hu, Xia, pp. 175–176, pl. 33, figs. 4–7.

Material: 4/25.1–4/25.2.

Description: colonies flattened and branched, 1.75 mm thick; width of a fragment 2.5 mm. Exozones 0.75 mm wide. Autozooecial walls 0.025 mm thick in endozones, and 0.1 mm thick in exozones. Diaphragms not observed. Long inferior hemisepta present. Autozooecial aper-

tures oval, arranged in regular diagonal rows. 3–5 Macroacanthostyles surround each autozooecial apertures, 0.05–0.075 mm in diameter. Paurostyles abundant, 0.01 mm in diameter, located in groups or irregularly between autozooecial apertures and macroacanthostyles.

Occurrence: China: Mengkungao Formation, Early Carboniferous; Russia: Podonino horizon, the Middle-Late Famennian (Late Devonian).

Discussion: *Klaucena lalolamina* Yang, Hu, Xia, 1988 differs from other species of the genus in having a flattened and ramose colony.

Family Nikiforovellidae Gorjunova, 1975

Genus *Mediapora* Trizna, 1958

Type species: *Mediaporainjaensis* Trizna, 1958, Early Carboniferous, Kuzbass, Russia.

Diagnosis: colonies branched. Diaphragms rare. Hemisepta absent. Autozooecia with elongated oval apertures. Acanthostyles located at junctions of autozooecial apertures. Rare metazooecia present.

Occurrence: Russia, Kazakhstan, Mongolia; Middle Devonian to Early Carboniferous.

Discussion: *Mediapora* Trizna, 1958 differs from *Nikiforovella* Nekhoroshev, 1948 in having few diaphragms, its absence of a well-marked median axis and its presence of few metazooecia.

Mediapora elegans sp. nov. (Figs. 4 G–J; Table 9)

Etymology: The specific name '*elegans*' refers to the small size and general delicate appearance of the new species (derived from Latin '*elegantis*' = elegant).

Table 8. Descriptive statistics for *Klaucena lalolamina* Yang, Hu, Xia, 1988 (for abbreviations, see Table 1).

<i>Klaucena lalolamina</i> Yang, Hu, Xia, 1988	N	X	SD	CV	MIN	MAX
aperture width [mm]	20	0.09	0.020	20.93	0.050	0.120
aperture spacing along branch [mm]	15	0.19	0.024	12.61	0.150	0.220
aperture spacing across branch [mm]	15	0.20	0.033	16.27	0.150	0.250
macroacanthostyle diameter [mm]	10	0.06	0.017	29.13	0.025	0.075
number of macroacanthostyle between apertures	15	4.06	0.593	14.59	3.000	5.000

Fig. 4. Bryozoa from the families Rhomboporidae and Nikiforovellidae.

A–C – *Klaucena gracilis* sp. nov. **A:** 4/24.1, longitudinal section, scale bar = 0.5 mm; **B:** 4/24.1, tangential section, scale bar = 0.5 mm; **C:** 4/24.2, transverse section, scale bar = 0.2 mm; **D–F** – *Klaucena lalolamina* Yang, Hu, Xia, 1988. **D:** 4/25.1, longitudinal section, scale bar = 0.5 mm; **E:** 4/25.1, transverse section, scale bar = 0.5 mm; **F:** 4/25.1, tangential section, scale bar = 0.5 mm; **G–J** – *Mediapora elegans* sp. nov. **G:** 4/26.1, tangential section, scale bar = 0.2 mm; **H:** 4/26.1, transverse section, scale bar = 0.5 mm; **I:** 4/26.2, longitudinal section, scale bar = 0.5 mm; **J:** 4/26.2, tangential section, scale bar = 0.2 mm.

Table 9. Descriptive statistics for *Mediapora elegans* sp. nov. (for abbreviations, see Table 1).

<i>Mediapora elegans</i> sp. nov.	N	X	SD	CV	MIN	MAX
branch width [mm]	5	1.64	0.314	19.13	1.200	2.000
endozone width [mm]	5	0.53	0.088	16.51	0.400	0.620
aperture width [mm]	10	0.12	0.022	18.45	0.100	0.170
aperture length [mm]	10	0.25	0.018	7.64	0.220	0.270
metazooecia diameter [mm]	5	0.05	0.017	35.35	0.025	0.075

Holotype: 4/26.1; paratypes: 4/26.2–4/26.3.

Type locality: Tom River, Kemerovo region, Russia.

Type horizon: Jurginskaya Formation, Podonino horizon, Middle-Late Famennian (Late Devonian).

Diagnosis: colonies thin branched. Few diaphragms. Autozooecia with long-oval apertures. Rare metazooecia. Acanthostyles numerous. Longitudinal ridges presents.

Description: colonies thin, branched. Exozones 0.4–0.7 mm wide. Autozooecial walls 0.012 mm thick in endozones, and 0.025 mm thick in exozones. Diaphragms few, straight, complete or incomplete. Autozooecial apertures elongated, oval, arranged in regular diagonal rows. 5 Acanthostyles surround the autozooecial apertures, 0.025 mm in diameter. Metazooecia rare, 0.025–0.075 mm in diameter.

Discussion: *Mediapora elegans* sp. n. differs from *Mediapora rhombicellata* Trizna, 1958 from the Tournaisian (Early Carboniferous) of the Kuzbass in its smaller size of acanthostyles (0.025 mm vs. 0.04–0.05 mm in *Mediapora rhombicellata*), and in the presence of metazooecia.

Discussion

The bryozoan fauna from the Jurginskaya Formation shows palaeobiogeographical affinities with Kazakhstan, Kirgizia, Transcaucasia, China, and the United States of America (Fig. 5). *Rhombopora subtilis* Nekhoroshev, 1977 and *Leptotrypella pojarkovi* Orlovski, 1961 are known from the *Mesoplica meisteri* Brachiopod Zone of the Lower Famennian of Transcaucasia (Nakhichevan, Azerbaijan) (Lavrentjeva, 1985). The species is also known from the *Sulcifer* Horizon (Middle Famennian) of central Kazakhstan and from the Middle Famennian of the west-

ern offshoots of the Tian Shan (Chatkal-Narynskaya area), respectively (Orlovski, 1961; Nekhoroshev, 1977). *Klaucena lalolamina* Yang, Hu, Xia, 1988 is known from the lower part of the Mengkungao Formation (Tournaisian, Early Carboniferous) of China (Yang et al., 1988).

Most bryozoans from the Jurga section are new species of the genera *Eofistulipora*, *Atactotoechus*, *Nikiforopora*, *Eridotrypella*, *Klaucena*, and *Mediapora*. The genera *Atactotoechus* and *Eridotrypella* are more widely distributed in the Famennian. Six species of *Atactotoechus* are known from the Famennian of Kazakhstan, Kirgizia, China, and three species of *Eridotrypella* are known from the Famennian of the United States, Kazakhstan, and Kuzbass (Fritz, 1944; Yang, 1950; Orlovski, 1961; Troitzkaya, 1968, 1975; Tolokonnikova, 2007). The spatial distribution of three other genera was restricted in the Famennian: *Eofistulipora* is known from China, *Klaucena* from Kazakhstan and Transcaucasia, and *Mediapora* from Transcaucasia (Troitzkaya, 1975; Lavrentjeva, 1985; Xia, 1997). It is worthwhile to mention here that the Tournaisian was the time-span of a peak in the




Fig. 5. Palaeobiogeography of genera from the Jurginskaya Formation in Famennian (plate tectonic reconstruction after Scotese, 2001). The asterisk indicates the Jurga section.

development of the genera *Klaucena* and *Mediaporora*, which include 10 and 3 species, respectively. Their distribution comprised Kuzbass, China, Kazakhstan, and Mongolia. The finding of a representative of *Nikiforopora* extends the stratigraphic range of this genus. This genus has previously been reported from the Mississippian of Russia, Uzbekistan, Mongolia, and Ukraine (Dunaeva, 1964; Astrova, 1978; Morozova et al., 2003).

Acknowledgements

Dr. Jaroslav Gutak (Kuzbass Academy, Russia) is thanked for extensive support of this research. Comments on the manuscript from Dr. Dmitry Ruban (Southern Federal University, Russia) are highly appreciated. I am grateful to Dr. Andrej Ernst (Kiel University, Germany) for his help with literature and constructive review. Dr. Urszula Hara (Polish Geological Institute, Warsaw) is thanked sincerely for critical reading of the manuscript and helpful comments.

References

- Astrova, G.G., 1964. Novyi otryad paleozoiskikh mshanok [New order of Palaeozoic bryozoans]. *Paleontologicheskij Zhurnal* 1, 22–31.
- Astrova, G.G., 1965. Morphologiya, istoriya razvitiya i sistema ordovikskikh i siluriiskikh mshanok [Morphology, developmental history and system of the Ordovician and Silurian bryozoans]. *Trudy Paleontologicheskogo Instituta Akademii Nauk SSSR* 106, 1–432.
- Astrova, G.G., 1978. Istoriya razvitiya, sistema i filogenia mshanok: Otryad Trepostomata [Developmental history, system, and phylogeny of the bryozoans: order Trepostomata]. *Trudy Paleontologicheskogo Instituta Akademii Nauk SSSR* 169, 1–240.
- Astrova, G.G. & Morozova, I.P., 1956. Sistematika mshanok otryada Cryptostomata [Systematics of the order Cryptostomata]. *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR* 110, 661–664.
- Babin, G.A., Borisov, G.A. & Tokarev, V.N., 1999. *Legenda Kuzbasskoi serii gosudarstvenoi geologicheskoi karty RF mashtaba 1:200000* [Legend of the Kuzbass Serie of the State Geological Map of the Russian Federation, scale 1:200000], 426 pp.
- Bassler, R.S., 1929. The Permian Bryozoa of Timor. *Paläontologie von Timor* 16, 37–90.
- Boardman, R.S., Cheetham, A.H., Blake, D.B. & Cook, P.L., 1983. Introduction, Order Cystoporata, Order Cryptostomata. [In:] Robison, R.A. (Ed.): *Treatise on invertebrate paleontology. Part. G: Bryozoa (revised)*. University of Kansas Press 1, 1–625.
- Borg, F. 1926. Studies of recent cyclostomatous Bryozoa. *Zoologiska Bidrag fran Uppsala* 10, 181–507.
- Duncan, H., 1939. Trepostomatous Bryozoa from the Traverse Group of Michigan. *University of Michigan Paleontology Contributions* 5 (10), 171–120.
- Dunaeva, N.N., 1964. Novye mshanki otryada Trepostomata iz nizhnego karbona Donetskogo basseina [New bryozoans from the order Trepostomata from the Lower Carboniferous of the Donetsk Basin]. *Paleontologicheskij Zhurnal* 2, 39–44.
- Ehrenberg, C.G., 1831. *Animalia invertebrata exclusis insectis. Symbolae physicae, seu icones et descriptiones corporum naturalium novorum aut minus cognitorum. Pars Zoologica*, Vol. 4. Mittler, Berlin, 831 pp.
- Fritz, M.A., 1944. Upper Devonian Bryozoa from New Mexico. *Journal of Paleontology* 18, 31–41.
- Gorjunova, R.V., 1975. Permskie mshanki Pamira [Permian bryozoans of the Pamir]. *Trudy Paleontologicheskogo Instituta Akademii Nauk SSSR* 148, 125 pp.
- Gutak, J.M. & Tolokonnikova, Z.A., in press. Jurginskaya svita (famenskii yarus) severnogo obramleniya Kuzbassa (paleontologicheskii fenomen) [The Jurginskaya Formation, Famennian of the northern border of Kuzbass (palaeontological phenomenon)]. [In:] Podobina, V.B. (Ed.): *Evolution of life on Earth. Proceedings of International Conference*. Tomsk.
- Köhler, W., Schachtel, G. & Voleske, P., 1996. *Biostatistik. Einführung in die Biometrie für Biologen und Agrarwissenschaftler*. Springer, Berlin, 285 pp.
- Kraevskaya, L.N., 1960. Spiriferidy verchnejurginskoi tolshi i ich vozrast [Spiriferids of the Upper Jurgensian bed and its age]. *Trudy TGU (New series)* 146, 29–36.
- Lavrentjeva, V.D., 1985. Verchnedevonskie mshanki Zakavkaziya [Late Devonian bryozoans of Transcaucasia]. *Izvestiya Vysshikh Uchebnykh Zavedeniy. Geologiya i Razvedka, Ministerstvo Vysshego i Srednego Obrazovaniya* 8, 12–18.
- Meek, F.B., 1872. Report on the paleontology of eastern Nebraska. [In:] Hayden, F.V. (Ed.): *Final report on the United States Geological Survey of Nebraska and portions of adjacent territories*. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, 81–239.
- Morozova, I.P., 1959. Novyi rod mshanok semeistva Fistuliporidae iz devona Kuznetsogo basseina [A new bryozoan genus of the family Fistuliporidae from the Devonian of the Kuznetsk Basin]. *Paleontologicheskij Zhurnal* 2, 79–81.
- Morozova, I.P., 1960. Devonskie mshanki Minusinskikh i Kuznetskoi kotloviny [Devonian bryozoans of the Minusinsk and Kuznetsk depressions]. *Trudy Paleontologicheskogo Instituta Akademii Nauk SSSR* 86, 207 pp.
- Morozova, I.P., Gorjunova, R.V. & Ariunchimeg, Y., 2003. Mshanki [Bryozoans]. [In:] Rozanov, A. Ju. (Ed.): *Palaeontology of Mongolia*, 168 pp.
- Nekhoroshev, V.P., 1948. *Kamennougolnye mshanki severo-vostochnogo Pribalchashiya* [Carboniferous bryozoans from the north-eastern Balkhash Lake region]. *Akademiya Nauk Kazakhskoi SSR Alma-ata*, 70 pp.
- Nekhoroshev, V.P., 1977. Devonskie mshanki Kazakhstana [Devonian bryozoans of Kazakhstan]. *Trudy VSEGEI (New series)* 186, 1–192.

- Nicholson, H.A., 1874. Descriptions of new fossils from the Devonian Formation of Canada West. *Geological Magazine* 1, 51–96.
- Nikiforova, A.I., 1933. Karbon Srednei Azii. Materialy k poznaniyu mshanok Turkestana [The Carboniferous of Middle Asia. Material for the knowledge of bryozoans from Turkestan]. *Trudy VSEGEI* 207, 77 pp.
- Orlovski, M.B., 1961. Novye famenskie mshanki iz Chatkalo-Naryn zonu Tian Shan [New Famennian bryozoan species from the Chatkalo-Naryn zone of Tian Shan]. *Paleontologicheskii Zhurnal* 2, 64–71.
- Scotese, C.R., 2001. Digital paleogeographic map archive on CD-ROM. PALEOMAP Project. University of Texas (Arlington). <http://www.scotese.com> (PALEOMAP website).
- Simpson, G.B., 1895. A handbook of the genera of the North American Palaeozoic Bryozoa. *New York State Geological Survey Annual Reports* 14, 403–669.
- Tolokonnikova, Z.A., 2007. Mshanki abushevskogo gorizonta Kusbassa [Bryozoans from the Abushevo horizon of the Kusbass]. *Vestnik of the Tomsk State University* 297, 171–174.
- Trizna, V.B., 1958. Rannekamennougolnye mshanki Kuznetskoi kotloviny [Early Carboniferous bryozoans of the Kuznetzk depression]. *Trudy VNIGRI, Microfauna of the USSR* 122, 433 pp.
- Troitzkaya, T.D., 1968. *Devonskie mshanki Kazakhstana* [Devonian bryozoans of Kazakhstan]. Moscow, Nedra, 239 pp.
- Troitzkaya, T.D., 1975. Osnovnye osobennosti mshanok Centralnogo Kazakhstana na granitse devona i karbona [Main features of bryozoans in Central Kazakhstan at the boundary between the Devonian and the Carboniferous]. *Paleontologicheskij Zhurnal* 9, 323–339.
- Ulrich, E.O., 1882. American Paleozoic Bryozoa. *The Journal of the Cincinnati Society of Natural History* 5, 233–257.
- Ulrich, E.O., 1883. American Paleozoic Bryozoa. *The Journal of the Cincinnati Society of Natural History* 6, 245–279.
- Ulrich, E.O. & Bassler, R.S., 1904. A revision of the Paleozoic Bryozoa. Part II: on genera and species of Trepostomata. *Bulletin of the US Geological Survey* 173, 15–55.
- Vinassa de Regny, P., 1921. Sulla classificazione dei Trepostomidi. *Societa Italiana di Scienze Naturali Atti* 59, 212–231.
- Vine, G.R., 1884. Fourth report of the Committee appointed for the purpose of reporting on fossil Polyzoa. Report of the 53th meeting of the British Association for the Advancement of Science, 161–209.
- Waagen, W. & Wentzel, I., 1886. Salt Range Fossils. Pt. Coelenterata. Memoir of the Geological survey of India. *Palaeontologica Indica* 13, 835–924.
- Xia, F.S., 1997. Marine microfaunas (bryozoans, conodonts and microvertebrate remains) from the Frasnian-Famennian interval in northwestern Junggar Basin of Xinjiang in China. *Beiträge zur Paläontologie* 22, 91–207.
- Yang, K.C., 1950. Some Bryozoa from Upper Devonian and Lower Carboniferous of Huan. *Paleontological Society of China* 6, 1–16.
- Yang, K.C., Hu, Z.X. & Xia, F., 1988. Bryozoans from Late Devonian and Early Carboniferous of Central Hunan. *Palaeontologia Sinica* 174 (New series B 23), 197 pp.
- Young, J., 1883. On Ure's «Millepore», *Tabulipora* (Cellepora) Urei Fleming. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History* (series 5) 12, 154–158.

Manuscript received 28 May 2010

revision accepted 28 August 2010